Astrometric detection limits in the habitable zone of nearby stars due to stellar variability

Nadège Meunier

Institut de Planétologie et d'Astrophysique de Grenoble, France

Current collaborators: A.-M. Lagrange, S. Sulis, D. Mary, L. Bigot





Outline

- Challenge with indirect methods, especially RVs
- Our general approach
 - Building realistic time series in RVs and astrometry
 - Blind tests
- Results
 - Blind tests RV vs astrometry
 - Detection limits for stars in the neighbourhood
 - Dedicated time series α Cen A and B



Pic du Midi Obs.

The challenge: main processes impacting RVs for solar-type stars

Magnetic structures

→ Spot and plage contrasts

0.3-0.4 m/s Prot+cycle modulation

Photospheric flows

 \rightarrow Oscillations

- → Granulation 0.4 m/s, minutes +
- → Supergranulation 0.7 m/s, day +
- → Meridional circulation *Ampl 1-2 m/s, cycle*

Magnetism + flows → Convective blueshift inhibition in plages Ampl 8 m/s, Prot+cycle



MDI/SOHO

Makarov+10

Our approach

- From the Sun to other stars
- Characterisation of specific processes impacting RVs
- Building of synthetic time series
- ✓Complex magnetic activity patterns
- ✓ Planet-free synthetic time series
- Systematic approach (parameter space, performance estimation)
- ightarrow Blind tests on large set of time series



Simulation parameters from empirical laws



Extension to solar type stars

Coherent sets of parameters

- Parameters depending on B-V and/or logR'_{HK}
- Range of parameters covered for each point

>11000 independent time series

X 10 inclinaisons



Details in Meunier+ 19

Grid parameters : rotation period, cycle period, cycle amplitude, latitudinal coverage, spot contrasts + laws for plage contrast, convective blueshift vs. spectral type

Quantifying performance with blind tests

Large set of **realistic synthetic time-series**

- Planet-free
- Possible to choose temporal sampling and add noise + **planet**
- Model to correct for stellar activity (non-linear function of logR'_{HK} and cycle phase) → RV only

Follow-up of a transit detection (RV)

Mass estimation Uncertainty Search for planets (RV / astrometry)

> Good detection rates Wrong detection rates False positive rates

Recent RV results 1000 nights / 10 years

Very difficult to reach Earth analogues in the habitable zone around solar type stars



Injected planet

 $4 \ M_{\text{Earth}}$

3 M_{Earth}

 $2 M_{Earth}$

100

80

60

40

Detection rate (%)

Our first for high precision astrometry For a star @ 10pc 1 M_{Earth}, habitable zone

Solar jitter @10pc ✓ Makarov+ 10 0.052 ; 0.039 µas ✓ Lagrange+11 0.07 ; 0.05 µas Compared to Earth signal 0.3 µas

Spot+plage intensity contrast contribution No correction

Based on the observational strategy Theia coll., Boehm+17 →50 visits over 3.5 y, 0.2 µarcsec/meas.

Blind tests, fap at 1%

 $\sigma < 20\%$ on the mass

Very good dectection rates \rightarrow only 10-20% missed planets Extremely low false positive & wrong planet levels < 0.1% in the HZ

Meunier+20



Promising targets in the solar neighbourhood

Theia Collaboration et al. 2017

Activity level based on a constant solar value from Lagrange et al 2011

- 55 F-G-K stars, including binaries and α
 Cen A and B
- ✓ 1.3-18.6 pc
- ✓ 45% are the A component of a binary system (22% = B component)



Identification of the closest simulations in our whole data set



Meunier & Lagrange 22

Rms of activity contribution in both directions signal = mostly rotational modulation



Focus on Earth analogues

• In the habitable zone around F6-K4 stars







From laws in Kasting+93, Zaninetti08, Jones+06

Blind test and detection limits

- Blind test protocol
 - Computation of detection rate for a given planet mass (habitable zone)
 - Loop on mass → mass corresponding to a certain detection rate = detection limit
- 3 criteria
 - Fap threshold of 1%
 - Theoretical false positive of 1%
 - SNR_{peak} or $SNR_{global} > 6$
- Note: possible to reach low masses, but SNR low when computed from the rms of the signal → need to control noise sources





Meunier & Lagrange 22

Dedicated time series for α Cen A & B

- Inpout for the end-to-end TOLIMAN simulations
- Position in our grid of parameter
 → B-V, average LogR'_{HK}
- But also
 - Rotation period: 28.3±05d (α Cen A, Huber 20), 36.7±0.3d (α Cen B, Dumusque+14)
 - Cycle period: 19.2±0.7 (α Cen A) and 8.1±0.2 (α Cen B), Ayres+14
 - Amplitude of the logR'HK variation: 0.07 (α Cen A) and 0.13 (α Cen B)
 - Inclination: 50° 80°
- Distance, radius, T_{eff}





α Cen A & B activity cycles

α Cen A

Y-direction

0.71

1.17

0.47

0.96

α Cen A & B typical jitter

Example for the low spot contrast (solar) and i=80°

ΔT₀, 50°

ΔT₀, 50°

 ΔT_{high} , 80°

 ΔT_{high} , 80°



Conclusion and perspectives

A very fruitful approach

Systematic approaches and realistic simulations necessary to understand limitations Many processes in RV, similar orders of magnitudes, all timescales \rightarrow very difficult to reach Earth analogues

Stellar astrometric jitter > theia noise only for very close stars such as α Cen A & B
 Search for Earth analogue with high-precision astrometry not limited by stellar activity

Perspectives

Need space mission with high astrometric precision !