## Detecting the closest Earth-mass planets with ESPRESSO







Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia



The Alpha Centauri System Towards new worlds Nice, 27 June 2023

### radial velocities



©Johan Jarnestad/The Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences

### smaller RV amplitudes lower planet masses



## extreme precision radial velocities

What does 10 cm/s velocity shift look like?



credit: Suvrath Mahadevan (EPRV5)

#### installed at the VLT

stabilised high-resolution cross-dispersed

designed for ultra-high RV precision and extreme spectral fidelity

R = 140000 (HR mode)

wavelength coverage 378 - 788 nm

in operation since 2018

can use any of the 4 UTs or the 4 simultaneously



### **ESPRESSO**

the Echelle SPectrograph for Rocky Exoplanets and Stable Spectroscopic Observations



Pepe et al. (2021)



### searching for planets with ESPRESSO



– WG4 -

fundamental cosmology

Pepe et al. (2021)

### searching for planets with ESPRESSO





ECHELLE





MAIN COLLIMATOR



Suárez-Mascareño et al. (2020)



Suárez-Mascareño et al. (2020)



the CCF FWHM is an excellent activity indicator

(but) RVs correlate better with the FWHM derivative



"We find evidence for a second short period signal with a period of 5.15 days and a semi-amplitude of 0.4 m/s"

### chasing a short-period signal



see https://twitter.com/espresso\_astro/status/1359510829679394817

first step was to derive RVs with the template-matching technique



## first step was to derive RVs with the template-matching technique



- 1. Compute telluric template (TAPAS / TelFit) and mask out affected regions
- 2. Compute stellar template combining all available observations



- 3. Compute RVs by aligning individual spectra with stellar template
- 4. Assume one RV shift common to all spectral orders (continuum fit is marginalised out)
- 5. Estimate the posterior distribution for the RV shift, either through MCMC or Laplace approximation



Silva et al. (2022)

first step was to derive RVs with the template-matching technique

for Proxima, we obtain

- very similar rms

- significantly lower uncertainties when compared with CCF RVs





then we simultaneously model the RVs and the CCF FWHM

the FWHM is an excellent proxy for stellar activity variations

two quasi-periodic GP with shared hyperparameters

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{QP}}(\tau) = \eta_1^2 \exp\left[-\frac{\tau^2}{2\eta_2^2} - \frac{2\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi\tau}{\eta_3}\right)}{\eta_4^2}\right]$$



Faria et al. (2022)



114 observations spanning 2.2 years

RV rms: 1.6 m/s mean σRV: 30 cm/s

Faria et al. (2022)



4

2

0

20

15

10

5

0 2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

Orbital periods *P* [days]

Semi-amplitudes K [m/s]

 $\Delta \ln Z_{12}$ 

Faria et al. (2022)

 $10^{3}$ 

CCF RVs

10<sup>3</sup>

TM RVs

timespan



Faria et al. (2022)



residual RV rms, after subtracting stellar activity and two-planet model, compatible with photon-noise

#### Faria et al. (2022)



### the press coverage



1. \* # b c d e Faria, J. P.; Suárez Mascareño, A.; et al. (January 4, 2022). \*A candidate short-period sub-Earth orbitin Proxima Centauri" (PDF). Astronomy & Astrophysics. European Southern Observatory. 658: 17. arXiv:2202.05188 A. Bibcode:2022A&A., 658A.115F pt. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202142337 A.

WIKIPEDIA

Article Tall

188 °E) [1]

See also (edt)

List of nearest exoplanets

References (edit)

Proxima Centauri d

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

2. \*\* <sup>b</sup> Suárez Mascareño, A.; Faria, J. P.; et al. (11 May 2020), "Revisiting Proxima with ESPRESSO" of, Astro & Astrophysics. 639: 24. arXiv:2005.12114 2. Bibcode:2020A8A...639A..775 (2. doi:10.1051/0004 6361/202037745 8. ISSN 0004-6361 (2.

Star

Mean radius

0.81 +0.08 Ro

≥0.26 ±0.05 Mo 360 K (87 °C: 188 °F)

### "confirmation" with HARPS data



## HARPS RVs rederived with a line-by-line approach

Artigau et al (2022)

Comparison Between The LBL Detection of Proxima b and d (Model  $M_{bd+dLW}$ ) with Published Literature Values

Parameter	Prior	<sup>a</sup> LBL	<sup>b</sup> SM2020	<sup>c</sup> Fa2022
$P_{\rm b}$ (days)	U(10.0, 20.0)	$11.1881\substack{+0.0061\\-0.0058}$	$11.1842 \pm 0.0007$	$11.1868_{-0.0031}^{+0.0029}$
$K_{\rm b} \ ({\rm m \ s}^{-1})$	$\mathcal{U}(0, 5)$	$1.22\pm0.17$	$1.37\pm0.10$	$1.24\pm0.07$
P <sub>d</sub> (days)	U( <b>5.0</b> , <b>5.3</b> )	$5.167\substack{+0.047\\-0.091}$	$5.168^{+0.051}_{-0.069}$	$5.122^{+0.002}_{-0.036}$
$K_{\rm d} \ ({\rm m \ s}^{-1})$	U( <b>0</b> , 5)	$0.38\substack{+0.19\\-0.20}$	$0.35\substack{+0.10\\-0.11}$	$0.39\pm0.07$

### the closest Earth-mass planets

• ESPRESSO confirms Proxima b

Sun

 and reveals a new candidate planet at a short orbital period of 5.12 days with 25% the mass of the Earth (K=38 cm/s)

Nice

b??

Proxima

В

A

### the closest Earth-mass planets

• ESPRESSO confirms Proxima b

Sun

 and reveals a new candidate planet at a short orbital period of 5.12 days with 25% the mass of the Earth (K=38 cm/s)

Nice

### Thank you

A



b??

Proxima

В



Fundação para a Ciên e a Tecnolou